

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

TORIANDE GIVENS,

No. 3:21-cv-01476-YY

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF;
SERGEANT KURTISS MORRISON; LT.
POOLE; SGT. BRITTON; SGT. OLSEN;
SGT. SCOTT,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation on December 13, 2022, in which she recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion to Object. F&R, ECF 24. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).


Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge You's Findings and Recommendation [24]. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Object [8] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 18, 2023.



MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge